

Main conclusions and policy recommendations - Renewables -

Christian Panzer (TU Vienna - EEG)

Anne Held (Fraunhofer ISI)

Security of European Energy Supplies: Discussing the main Policy Recommendations of the SECURE Project

Brussels, September 29th, 2010







Identification of threats



Long-term impacts		Operational impacts	Others
Economic	Climate change impacts	Variability of RES- output	Technological risks
 Development of cost reduction Raw material prices (e.g. steel, silicon) Electricity generation costs 	 Hydro: Changing utilisation Wind: Impact of storms Biomass: Change in BM-Potential 	 Wind in particular on short-term (Remedies: Back-up capacity; Grid reinforcement; DSM) Solar (comparatively good correlation of peak load and demand) Hydro (Inter-annual variability) 	•Geothermal (Hot-Dry-Rock and Earthquakes → Basel)
Import dependency	Feedstock competition		Political risks •Political factors
 CSP from North Africa Biomass imports (transport distance, state of aggregation) 	 Biomass availability and prices Harvesting season 		hampering RES- development (Non-economic barriers, policy uncertainty)

Risk assessment depends on type and penetration of RES and system characteristics:

- The higher the penetration of weather-dependent RES, the higher the risk of volatile power outputs.
- The more diverse the portfolio of RET, the smaller is the impact of price volatilities
- The more stable the political framework conditions, the lower is the risk for potential investors
- The higher the share of domestic energy production, the higher the security of supply (Exploitation of a broad basket of technologies)

National RES targets for 2020 – the proposed definition





Note: Additional potentials do not include biofuel imports

How the European Commission set the targets ... **"FLAT RATE" & "GDP-Variation"** ... i.e.: RES-target₂₀₂₀ = RES_{2005%} + 50% *RES_{NEW %} + 50% *"RES_{NEW %} GDP-weighting"-"first mover bonus"

RES contribution to Security of Supply



Muddling Through

- Global baseline development of RES
- Increased use of domestic RES (Share of **RES** in final consumption of **15 % by 2030**)
- 267 Mtoe of fossil fuel consumption per year avoided by 2030

Europe Alone

- Alternative RES development within Europe and baseline RES development in RoW
- Share of **RES** in final energy consumption of **30 % by 2030**
- Reduction in fossil fuel demand of annually 540 Mtoe by 2030

Global Regime

- Alternative RES development on global scale
- 29% of the final energy consumption is provided based on **RES by 2030**
- 539 Mtoe of fossil fuel consumption per year may be avoided by 2030
- Reduction of oil imports by 18%, gas imports by 51% and coal imports even by 68%









Development of different policy storylines



Due to *higher CO2 constraints* for Europe, *electricity wholesale prices* in the Europe Alone scenario are *higher* and hence a *stronger RES-E contribution* is expected than at global, common CO2 constraints









Policy recommendations



Policy support to increase the share of renewables is needed in all three sectors including the heating and cooling sector

Stronger focus on RES-heat policies. Support investment incentives and obligations with information campaigns. The policy measures should be based on a financial support level which is designed such that renewables projects become profitable without overcompensating investors.

Apply technology-specific support instruments

Support a wide range of technologies to trigger learning effects and cost reductions. Associated costs vary largely between technologies and over time.

Efforts are needed in all MS

Uneven distribution of RES potentials and costs emphasises the need for flexibility mechanisms \rightarrow national RES target achievement supported in an efficient and effective manner.

Demand side management

Helps to integrate high shares of fluctuating electricity generation – enabling demand incentives at supply peak. But potentials for this option appear restricted







Policy recommendations



Correct forecast errors on the intraday market

Adapt organisation of electricity market. Trading at the intraday market platform would imply a correction of all the imbalances whereas the imbalance payments only apply for the net system imbalances

Use of storage power plants (hydropower and other storage systems)

Storage systems such as pumped-storage hydropower plants, hydro reservoirs, compressed air storage, flywheels or batteries

But: some of the technological options not yet be economically competitive

Support open and efficient markets for international electricity trading

Countries disposing of less flexible power plants may profit from other countries with more power plants that can be operated on demand, such as hydropower plants with pump storage. In addition, electricity trading enhances the exploitation of low cost renewable potentials

Support investments in grid extension and reinforcement

The reinforcement and, if necessary, the extension of the electricity grid represents one main option of how large amounts of fluctuating electricity can be integrated into the electricity system. Installing additional district heat infrastructure.









Dipl.-Ing. Christian Panzer

e-mail: panzer@eeg.tuwien.ac.at

Energy Economics Group (EEG) Vienna University of Technology Gusshausstraße 25-29/E373-2 1040 Vienna, Austria http://eeg.tuwien.ac.at

Dipl. Wirtsch.-Ing. Anne Held

e-mail: anne.held@isi.fraunhofer.de

Fraunhofer Institute for Systems and Innovation Research (ISI) Breslauer Straße 48 76139 Karlsruhe, Germany www.isi.fraunhofer.de

Thank you for your attention!





